Package 'kappaGold'

September 30, 2024

Title Agreement of Nominal Scale Raters with a Gold Standard

Version 0.3.2

Date 2024-09-27

Description Estimate agreement of a group of raters with a gold standard rating on a nominal scale. For a single gold standard rater the average pairwise agreement of raters with this gold standard is provided. For a group of gold standard raters the approach of S. Vanbelle, A. Albert (2009) <doi:10.1007/s11336-009-9116-1> is implemented. Bias and standard error are estimated via delete-1 jackknife.

License MIT + file LICENSE

Encoding UTF-8

LazyData true

Imports future.apply (>= 1.6), purrr (>= 0.3), stats, tibble, tidyr

Suggests dplyr, irr, knitr, testthat (>= 3.0.0)

RoxygenNote 7.3.2

Config/testthat/edition 3

Depends R (>= 4.0)

NeedsCompilation no

Author Matthias Kuhn [aut, cre] (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2868-5155>), Jonas Breidenstein [aut]

Maintainer Matthias Kuhn <matthias.kuhn@tu-dresden.de>

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2024-09-30 16:50:02 UTC

Contents

diagnoses						 													2	ļ
kappa2						 										 •			3	;
kappaGold						 •										 •			4	Ļ
kappam_fleiss						 •										 •			4	Ļ

diagnoses

ppam_gold
ppam_vanbelle
ppa_test
_test
10 ulKappa
gingData
torinox
13

Index

```
diagnoses
```

Psychiatric diagnoses

Description

N = 30 patients were given one of k = 5 diagnoses by some n = 6 psychiatrists out of 43 psychiatrists in total. The diagnoses are

- 1. Depression
- 2. PD (=Personality Disorder)
- 3. Schizophrenia
- 4. Neurosis
- 5. Other

Usage

diagnoses

Format

diagnoses:

A matrix with 30 rows and 6 columns:

- rater1 1st rating of some six raters
- rater2 2nd rating of some six raters
- rater3 3rd rating of some six raters
- rater4 4th rating of some six raters
- rater5 5th rating of some six raters
- rater6 6th rating of some six raters

Details

A total of 43 psychiatrists provided diagnoses. In the actual study (Sandifer, Hordern, Timbury, & Green, 1968), between 6 and 10 psychiatrists from the pool of 43 were unsystematically selected to diagnose a subject. Fleiss randomly selected six diagnoses per subject to bring the number of assignments per patient down to a constant of six.

As there is not a fixed set of six raters the ratings from the same column are not related to each other. Therefore, compared to the dataset with the same name in package irr, we applied a permutation of the six ratings.

kappa2

References

Sandifer, M. G., Hordern, A., Timbury, G. C., & Green, L. M. Psychiatric diagnosis: A comparative study in North Carolina, London and Glasgow. British Journal of Psychiatry, 1968, 114, 1-9.

Fleiss, J. L. Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. Psychological Bulletin, 1971, 76(5), 378–382. doi:10.1037/h0031619

See Also

This dataset is also available as diagnoses in the irr-package on CRAN.

kappa2

Cohen's kappa for nominal data

Description

The data of ratings must be stored in a two column object, each rater is a columns and the subjects are in the rows.

Usage

kappa2(ratings, robust = FALSE, ratingScale = NULL)

Arguments

ratings	matrix (dimension nx2), containing the ratings as subjects by raters
robust	flag. Use robust estimate for random chance of agreement by Brennan-Prediger?
ratingScale	Possible levels for the rating. Or NULL.

Details

Every rating category is used and the levels are sorted. Weighting is currently not implemented.

Value

list containing Cohen's kappa agreement measure (value) or NULL if no valid subjects

See Also

irr::kappa2()

Examples

kappaGold kappaGold package

Description

Estimate agreement with a gold-standard rating for nominal categories.

Author(s)

Maintainer: Matthias Kuhn <matthias.kuhn@tu-dresden.de>(ORCID)

Authors:

• Jonas Breidenstein < jonas.breidenstein@tu-dresden.de>

kappam_fleiss *Fleiss' kappa for multiple nominal-scale raters*

Description

When multiple raters judge subjects on a nominal scale we can assess their agreement with Fleiss' kappa. It is a generalization of Cohen's Kappa for two raters and there are different variants how to assess chance agreement.

Usage

```
kappam_fleiss(
  ratings,
  variant = c("fleiss", "conger", "robust", "uniform"),
  detail = FALSE,
  ratingScale = NULL
)
```

4

kappam_fleiss

Arguments

ratings	matrix (subjects by raters), containing the ratings
variant	Which variant of kappa? Default is Fleiss (1971). Other options are Conger (1980) or robust variant.
detail	Should category-wise Kappas be computed? Only available for the Fleiss (1971) variant.
ratingScale	Specify possible levels for the rating. Default NULL means to use all unique levels from the sample.

Details

Different **variants** of Fleiss' kappa are implemented. By default (variant="fleiss"), the original Fleiss Kappa (1971) is calculated, together with an asymptotic standard error and test for kappa=0. It assumes that the raters involved are not assumed to be the same (one-way ANOVA setting). The marginal category proportions determine the chance agreement. Setting variant="conger" gives the variant of Conger (1980) that reduces to Cohen's kappa when m=2 raters. It assumes identical raters for the different subjects (two-way ANOVA setting). The chance agreement is based on the category proportions of each rater separately. Typically, the Conger variant yields slightly higher values than Fleiss kappa. variant="robust" assumes a chance agreement of two raters to be simply 1/q, where q is the number of categories (uniform model).

Value

list containing Fleiss's kappa agreement measure (value) or NULL if no subjects

See Also

irr::kappam.fleiss()

```
kappam_fleiss(m, detail = TRUE)
```

```
kappam_gold
```

Description

First, Cohen's kappa is calculated between each rater against the gold standard which is taken from the 1st column. The average of these kappas is returned as 'kappam_gold0'. The variant setting (robust=) is forwarded to Cohen's kappa. A bias-corrected version 'kappam_gold' and a corresponding confidence interval are provided as well via the jackknife method.

Usage

```
kappam_gold(ratings, robust = FALSE, ratingScale = NULL, conf.level = 0.95)
```

Arguments

ratings	matrix subjects by raters
robust	flag. Use robust estimate for random chance of agreement by Brennan-Prediger?
ratingScale	Possible levels for the rating. Or NULL.
conf.level	confidence level for confidence interval

Value

list. agreement measures (raw and bias-corrected) kappa with confidence interval. Entry raters refers to the number of tested raters, not counting the reference rater

Examples

kappam_vanbelle Agreement between two groups of raters

Description

This function expands upon Cohen's and Fleiss' Kappa as measures for interrater agreement while taking into account the heterogeneity within each group.

kappam_vanbelle

Usage

```
kappam_vanbelle(
  ratingsGr1,
  ratingsGr2,
  ratingScale = NULL,
  weights = c("unweighted", "linear", "quadratic"),
  conf.level = 0.95
)
```

Arguments

ratingsGr1	matrix of subjects x raters for 1st group of raters
ratingsGr2	matrix of subjects x raters for 2nd group of raters
ratingScale	character vector of the levels for the rating. Or NULL.
weights	optional weighting schemes: "unweighted", "linear", "quadratic"
conf.level	confidence level for interval estimation

Details

Data need to be stored with raters in columns.

Value

list. kappa agreement between two groups of raters

References

Vanbelle, S., Albert, A. Agreement between Two Independent Groups of Raters. Psychometrika 74, 477–491 (2009). doi:10.1007/s1133600991161

```
# compare rater1-rater2 vs rater3-rater6 from diagnoses-data
# (there is no systematic difference between both groups
#+as the raters are randomly selected per subject)
kappam_vanbelle(diagnoses[,1:2], diagnoses[,3:6])
```

```
kappa_test
```

Description

When groups of different subjects are rated on a nominal scale. Assuming independence of subjects and their ratings between groups a chi-squared test for equality of kappa between these groups is performed. The test requires estimates of kappa and its standard error per group.

Usage

```
kappa_test(kappas, val = "value0", se = "se0", conf.level = 0.95)
```

Arguments

kappas	list of kappas from different groups. It uses the kappa estimate and its standard error.
val	character. Name of field to extract kappa coefficient estimate.
se	character. Name of field to extract standard error of kappa.
conf.level	numeric. confidence level of confidence interval for overall kappa

Details

A common overall kappa coefficient across groups is estimated. The test statistic assesses the weighted squared deviance of the individual kappas from the overall kappa estimate. The weights depend on the provided standard errors.

Value

list containing the test results, including the entries statistic and p.value (class htest)

References

Joseph L. Fleiss, Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions, 3rd ed., 2003, section 18.1

```
# script concordance test on 34 clinical situations,
# rated by 39 students and 11 experts
kappa_stud <- kappam_fleiss(SC_test[, 1:39])
kappa_expert <- kappam_fleiss(SC_test[, 40:50])</pre>
```

```
# compare student and expert agreement
kappa_test(kappas = list(kappa_stud, kappa_expert))
```

SC_test

Description

In medical education, the script concordance test (SCT) (Charlin, Gagnon, Sibert, & Van der Vleuten, 2002) is used to score physicians or medical students in their ability to solve clinical situations as compared to answers given by experts. The test consists of a number of items to be evaluated on a 5-point Likert scale.

Usage

SC_test

Format

A matrix with 34 rows and 50 columns. Columns 1 to 39 are student raters, columns 40 to 50 are experts. Each rater applies to each clinical situation one of five levels ranging from -2 to 2 with the following meaning:

- -2 The assumption is practically eliminated;
- -1 The assumption becomes less likely;
- **0** The information has no effect on the assumption;
- +1 The assumption becomes more likely;
- +2 The assumption is virtually the only possible one.

Details

Each item represents a clinical situation (called an 'assumption') likely to be encountered in the physician's practice. The situation has to be unclear, even for an expert. The task of the subjects being evaluated is to consider the effect of new information on the assumption to solve the situation. The data incorporates 50 raters, 39 students and 11 experts.

Each rater judges the same 34 assumptions.

Source

Sophie Vanbelle (personal communication, 2021)

References

Vanbelle, S., Albert, A. Agreement between Two Independent Groups of Raters. Psychometrika 74, 477–491 (2009). doi:10.1007/s1133600991161

```
simulKappa
```

Description

The function generates simulation data according to given categories and probabilities. and can repeatedly apply function kappam_gold(). Currently, there is no variation in probabilities from rater to rater, only sampling variability from multinomial distribution is at work.

Usage

```
simulKappa(nRater, cats, nSubj, probs, mcSim = 10, simOnly = FALSE)
```

Arguments

nRater	numeric. number of raters.
cats	categories specified either as character vector or just the numbers of categories.
nSubj	numeric. number of subjects per gold standard category. Either a single number or as vector of numbers per category, e.g. for non-balanced situation.
probs	numeric square matrix (nCat x nCat) with classification probabilities. Row i has probabilities of rater categorization for subjects of category i (gold standard).
mcSim	numeric. Number of Monte-Carlo simulations.
simOnly	logical. Need only simulation data? Default is FALSE.

Details

This function is future-aware for the repeated evaluation of kappam_gold() that is triggered by this function.

Value

dataframe of kappa-gold on the simulated datasets or (when simOnly=TRUE) list of length mcSim with each element a simulated data set with goldrating in first column and then the raters.

stagingData

Description

Staging of carcinoma is done by different medical professions. Gold standard is the (histo-)pathological rating of a tissue sample but this information typically only becomes available late, after surgery. However prior to surgery the carcinoma is also staged by radiologists in the clinical setting on the basis of MRI scans.

Usage

stagingData

Format

A data frame with 21 observations and 6 variables:

patho the (histo-)pathological staging (gold standard) with categories I, II or III

rad1 the clinical staging with categories I, II or III by radiologist 1

rad2 the clinical staging with categories I, II or III by radiologist 2

rad3 the clinical staging with categories I, II or III by radiologist 3

rad4 the clinical staging with categories I, II or III by radiologist 4

rad5 the clinical staging with categories I, II or III by radiologist 5

Details

These fictitious data were inspired by the OCUM trial. The simulation uses the following two assumptions: over-staging occurs more frequently than under-staging and an error by two categories is less likely than an error by only one category.

Stages conform to the UICC classification according to the TNM classification. Note that cases in stage IV do not appear in this data set and that the following description of stages is simplified.

- 1. I Until T2, N0, M0
- 2. **II** From T3, N0, M0
- 3. III Any T, N1/N2, M0

Source

simulated data

References

Kreis, M. E. et al., MRI-Based Use of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Rectal Carcinoma: Surgical Quality and Histopathological Outcome of the OCUM Trial doi:10.1245/s1043401907696y victorinox

Description

Quick simple jackknife routine to estimate bias and standard error of an estimator.

Usage

victorinox(est, idx)

Arguments

est	estimator function
idx	maximal index vector for data of estimator

Value

list with jackknife information, bias and SE

References

https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jackknife-Methode

Index

* datasets diagnoses, 2 SC_test,9 stagingData, 11 diagnoses, 2 irr::kappa2(), 3 irr::kappam.fleiss(),5 kappa2, 3 kappa_test, 8kappaGold, 4 kappaGold-package (kappaGold), 4 kappam_fleiss, 4 kappam_gold, 6 kappam_gold(), 10 kappam_vanbelle, 6 SC_test, 9 simulKappa, 10 stagingData, 11 victorinox, 12